



Honorable August B. Landis
United States Bankruptcy Judge



Entered on Docket
November 19, 2021

CLARISSE L. CRISOSTOMO, ESQ., Bar No. 15526
Email: clarisse@nv-lawfirm.com
ATKINSON LAW ASSOCIATES LTD.
376 E Warm Springs Rd, Suite 130
Las Vegas, NV 89119
Telephone: (702) 614-0600
Attorney for Robert E. Atkinson, Trustee

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In re:

INFINITY CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.
dba INFINITY HEALTH CONNECTIONS,

Debtor.

Case No. 21-14486-abl
Chapter 7

**ORDER DENYING MOTION OF
PARTY IN INTEREST TECUMSEH
– INFINITY MEDICAL
RECEIVABLES FUND, LP TO (1)
ABANDON PROPERTY AND (2)
LIFT THE AUTOMATIC STAY**

Hearing Date: November 17, 2021
Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.

A motion entitled MOTION OF PARTY IN INTEREST TECUMSEH – INFINITY MEDICAL RECEIVABLES FUND, LP TO (1) ABANDON PROPERTY AND (2) LIFT THE AUTOMATIC STAY [DE #57] (“Motion”) came on for a hearing before this Court.

Appearances were as noted in the record.

1 All findings of fact and conclusions of law orally stated by the Court at the hearing are
2 incorporated herein pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 52, as made applicable to these proceedings via
3 Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014(c) and 7052. For the reasons provided by the Court on the record at the
4 hearing,

5 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- 6 1. The Motion is denied, without prejudice.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8 # # # # #

9 Respectfully submitted by:

10 /s/ Clarisse Crisostomo

11 CLARISSE L. CRISOSTOMO, ESQ.

12 Nevada Bar No. 15526

13 *Attorney for Robert E. Atkinson, Trustee*

14 **CERTIFICATION re: RULE 9021**

15 In accordance with LR 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies that the order accurately
16 reflects the court's ruling and that (check one):

- 17 ☐ The court has waived the requirements set forth in LR 9021(b)(1).
18 ☐ No other party appeared at the hearing or filed an objection to the motion.
19 ☒ I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the
20 hearing, and any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and each has
21 approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below:

22 Michael Napoli, Esq. (counsel for Tecumseh) – APPROVED

23 Matthew Zirzow, Esq. (counsel for Debtor) – APPROVED

24 Bart Larsen, Esq. (counsel for HASelect) – APPROVED

- 25 ☐ I certify that this is a case under Chapter 7 or 13, that I have served a copy of this
26 order with the motion pursuant to LR 9014(g), and that no party has objected to the
27 form or content of the order.

28 ####